



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,765	10/30/2003	William Bernard Trescott	6672	
7:	7590 03/23/2006		EXAMINER	
William B. Tr 8028 HWY 457		TO, TOAN C		
Bay City, TX		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3616	
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/696,765	TRESCOTT, WILLIAM BERNARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Toan C. To	3616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Oc	ctober 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	•			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3, 7, 12 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-6,8-11 and 13-17 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of th	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/696,765 Page 2

Art Unit: 3616

. d

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 4 should depend on claim 1 instead of claim 6, for purpose of examining the claim on merit the examiner assumes that claim 4 depends on claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 18 recites the limitation "said wheel" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gottschalk et al (U.S. 6,073,947).

Application/Control Number: 10/696,765

Art Unit: 3616

Gottschalk et al discloses a heavy truck rear suspension consisting of a wheel axle (5), said wheel axle (5) being support by an arm (13), said arm being connected to a vehicle frame by a first pivot (37), said first pivot (37) being connected to a second pivot (35), said second pivot (35) being connected to said vehicle frame (3) on a common axis (the solid line connecting the first pivot 35 and second pivot 37 in figure 2A) with said first pivot (37), said axle (5), said first pivot, and said second pivot (35) being non-coplanar.

As to claim 2, Gottschalk et al discloses a heavy truck rear suspension, wherein said second pivot (35) is higher than said first pivot (37).

As to claim 3, Gottschalk et al discloses a heavy truck rear suspension, wherein said second pivot is lower than said first pivot (in this case, the examiner interprets the suspension arm 11, the upper pivot 35, and the lower pivot 37 respectively correspond to the arm, the first pivot and the second pivot as claimed).

As to claim 7, Gottschalk et al discloses a heavy truck rear suspension wherein the first and second pivots are connected by a support axle (hanger 9 acts as a support axle).

6. Claims 1-2 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dickerson (U.S. 5,476,285).

Dickerson discloses a heavy truck rear suspension consisting of a wheel axle (see column 6, line 27), said wheel axle being support by an arm (20), said arm being connected to a vehicle frame (10) by a first pivot (21), said first pivot (21) being connected to a second pivot (29), said second pivot (29) being connected to said

Art Unit: 3616

vehicle frame (10) on a common axis (a line connects a center of pivotal mounting 21 to a center of pivot pin 29 is interpreted as a common axis) with said first pivot (21), said axle, said first pivot, and said second pivot (29) being non-coplanar; wherein said second pivot (29) is higher than said first pivot (21); and at least one motor (16) coupled to the wheel axle.

7. Claims 1-2 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Keeler et al (U.S. 5,620,194).

Keeler et al discloses a heavy truck rear suspension consisting of a wheel axle (25), said wheel axle (25) being support by an arm (3), said arm being connected to a vehicle frame by a first pivot (5b), said first pivot (5b) being connected to a second pivot (5a), said second pivot (5a) being connected to said vehicle frame (9) on a common axis (a line connects centers of the pivots 5a and 5b is interpreted as a common axis) with said first pivot (5b), said axle (25), said first pivot, and said second pivot (35) being non-coplanar; wherein the second pivot (5a) is higher than the first pivot (5b).

As to claim 18, Keeler et al discloses a heavy truck rear suspension further comprising means (35) for pivoting the wheel axle, control means (209) for automatically controlling the pivoting means and a sensor (225) coupled to the control means (209), the sensor (225) producing signal representative of motion (vehicle speed) of the vehicle, the control means (209) responsive to the sensor (225).

Application/Control Number: 10/696,765 Page 5

Art Unit: 3616

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 4-6, 8-11, 13-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan C. To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6677. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (571) 272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions or access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTo /// March 1/6, 2006

> UPERVISORY PATEUT LEGGLAND TECHNOLOGY CANADA SOLO